

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Samantha Stoney

Email address: *

samanthastoney@iinet.net.au

Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: *

Spencer st bike path

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.

Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

I have lived near the corner of King and Dudley st since 1997. The proposed bike paths on Spencer st will be fantastic. Hooray! West Melbourne is a residential area. The bike paths will cut down on trucks and cars cutting through the suburb at break neck speed. Thank you. Sam Stoney

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

No

Privacy acknowledgement: * ● I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Tasma Eddy

Email address: * tasmaeddy@gmail.com

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: * North and West Melbourne and Docklands Transport and Amenity Program (TAP) – Program Update

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

In the ‘North and West Melbourne and Docklands Transport and Amenity Program (TAP) – Program Update’, it states that there will be stakeholder consultations with Traditional Owners to incorporate Aboriginal Culture into the designated project areas. What will this physically look like and are there currently any other projects around the City of Melbourne that also aim to incorporate Aboriginal Culture?

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: * No

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Peter Gerrand

Email address: *

pgerrand@gmail.com

Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: *

6.3 TAP

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit **by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.**

See attached file (one page)

Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[submission_to_the_future_of_melb_committee_15.3.22.pdf](#)

76.75 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

Yes

If yes, please indicate if you would like to make your submission in person, or via a virtual link (Zoom) to the meeting. Please note, physical attendance will be limited in accordance with City of Melbourne security protocols and COVID-safe plans and be allocated on a first registered, first served basis. *

I wish to make my submission in person

Submission to the Future Melbourne Committee 15.03.22 re TAP budget (item 6.3)

From: Peter Gerrand, North & West Melbourne Association. Date: 14.03.22.

Since 2019 I have been NWMA's rep to the West Gate Tunnel Project (WGTP)'s Community Liaison Group. During this time, NWMA has strongly advocated the need for a noise barrier on the planned Wurundjeri Way Extension (WWE) over the railway yards adjacent to Railway Place, West Melbourne.

The WGTP maintain that they have met their legal requirements under their EPR¹, in which VicRoads set a noise limit for the WWE. This takes no account of the existing noise from diesel trains which already impacts heavily on the residents of Railway Place and nearby. WGTP appear to be legally correct; the EPR was deficient.

NWMA was encouraged to approach the Department of Transport (DoT) directly, which we did in 2021. We learned that the \$100M Transport & Amenity Program (TAP) budget was set up to mitigate the effects of the significant additional vehicle traffic to and from the WGTP (on completion in 2025), which will be channelled from and into five arterial roads passing through West and North Melbourne. At least 2,000 additional vehicles per day are expected to pass along the WWE between the morning and evening traffic peaks.

One of the most negative effects of this additional traffic will be its noise impact on the residents of Railway Place. The TAP budget, having been set up to mitigate the effects of the additional traffic on our suburbs, is the appropriate budget to use to build the required noise barrier on WWE.

The response by CoM staff has been that this cost should be borne by DoT alone. NWMA asks the City Councillors to be pragmatic. It would take a huge political effort for DoT to persuade its Minister to provide additional funds for this noise barrier, when the TAP budget already exists for this purpose!

We ask the CoM to put the needs of its West Melbourne residents ahead of its desire to sheet home all the costs to DoT. The TAP budget for this year, 2021/22, is already allocated. **We ask the CoM**, via the TAP Steering Committee, **to allocate sufficient TAP funds in 2022/23 for an engineering feasibility study for the noise barrier**, and sufficient funds in 2023/24 to build that noise barrier.

The residents of West Melbourne and the members of NWMA will be most grateful.

¹ EES = Environment Performance Requirements

Privacy acknowledgement: * ● I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Tasma Eddy

Email address: * tasmaeddy@gmail.com

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: * Night Time Economy Advisory Committee

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

In the 'Night Time Economy Advisory Committee' report, it states that the \$200 million Melbourne City Revitalisation Fund will be a source of funding for night time economy initiatives in the council's perimeters. What exactly will this funding be going towards and is it guaranteed to boost the City of Melbourne's night time economy?

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: * No

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

Name: * Tony Penna

Email address: * president@southbankresidents.org.au

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: * Agenda Item 6.5: – Night Time Economy Advisory Committee

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.

Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[202203_fmc_meeting_no.30_agenda_item_6.5_night_time_economy_advisory_committee.pdf](#)

113.17 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future

Yes

**Melbourne in
support of your
submission: ***

If yes, please indicate if you would like to make your submission in person, or via a virtual link (Zoom) to the meeting. Please note, physical attendance will be limited in accordance with City of Melbourne security protocols and COVID-safe plans and be allocated on a first registered, first served basis. *

I wish to make my submission in person



PO Box 1195 South Melbourne VIC 3205
Phone: 03 9028 2774
ABN 58 986 783 321 Cert. of Inc. A0036364B
info@southbankresidents.org.au
www.southbankresidents.org.au

Submission to Future Melbourne Committee

City of Melbourne, West Melbourne Baptist Community Centre,
15 March 2022, 6pm – Meeting No.30
Agenda Item FMC 6.5: Night Time Economy Advisory Committee

The SRA is generally impressed by the work of the NTEAC and on the whole endorses their recommendations to activate the city.

However, given the breadth of responsibility of the City of Melbourne, in particular

- plan and provide services, facilities and infrastructure for the *local community* (from functions as in Local Government Act 1989, SRA emphasis) and the NTEAC ‘terms of reference’:
- The recovery effort needs to be coordinated, timely, informed, considered and consistent with the best interests of the city’s stakeholders, *residents*, businesses, workers and visitors. (SRA emphasis)

one would have expected some mention of how the proposed increased night-time activation might impact on local residents and what protocols would be put in place in regard to hours of operation and sound level as well as the steps to be taken to ensure that such protocols are respected.

Similarly, some encouragement of courteous behaviour of patrons as they make their way to their cars or public transport, after a night on the town, would be appreciated by local residents.

In this instance it would have been preferred if the NTEAC report had fully addressed its brief.

Tony Penna
President
Southbank Residents Association

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

Name: * Nevena Spirovska

Email address: * info@vicpridelobby.org

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 15 March 2022

Agenda item title: "LGBTIQ+ programs"

*

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

We write on behalf of the Victorian Pride Lobby in regards to the notice of motion before the next Council meeting on "LGBTIQ+ programs".

We strongly urge you to pass the motion, with an amendment to remove point 3.

We believe that it is important that Councillors are briefed on Council's progress towards implementation of the Rainbow Pledge asked of candidates in the lead up to the 2020 election, and which many of you have signed.

In particular, we believe that it is important that Council investigate the establishment of an LGBTIQA+ community advisory committee (to complement your existing internal Pride Network) and what services might be suitable for Rainbow Tick accreditation (and whether publicly-facing services have been improved as a result of Council's participation in the Australian Workplace Equality Index). We also believe that it is important that Council investigate the development of an action plan addressing LGBTIQA+ communities, especially given that the Inclusive Melbourne Strategy contemplates developing further plans to deliver on Council's priorities for an inclusive City. This should be guided by an LGBTIQA+ community advisory committee.

We do, however, have concerns with the section of the notice of motion that states that our Local Government Equality Index "cannot be said to measure or benchmark equality in any meaningful way." We wish to make a number of points in response.

First, we acknowledge that the Local Government Equality Index does not and cannot fully capture the entire output or impact of Council's services and programs for LGBTIQ+ inclusion. Attachment 1 to the notice of motion details Council's LGBTIQ+ services and programs. We do not seek to ignore these or suggest that people should rely on the Index alone, and would welcome ideas on how we can better support and champion Council's other LGBTIQ+ inclusion initiatives.

Second, we dispute the assertion that the Local Government Equality Index does not measure equality in any meaningful way. The Index scores councils against each of the LGBTIQ+ inclusion initiatives in the Rainbow Pledge: (1) Rainbow Tick accreditation of services; (2) an LGBTIQ+ advisory committee; (3) an LGBTIQ+ action plan; (4) flying the rainbow flag; and (5) participating in pride events. Implementation of these initiatives will ensure that Council can play its part in achieving LGBTIQ+ equality.

Third, we question why the Council would criticise our work in this way. On this, we note that we: (a) wrote to you in March 2021 stating that we stand ready to work with Council on implementing these actions and offering you the opportunity to reach out to us if you have any questions or would like any support; (b) attended the stakeholder discussions on the Inclusive Melbourne Strategy in April 2021 and provided feedback, albeit that it was not reflected in the final Strategy; and (c) wrote to your CEO in December 2021 to invite comments on the Local Government Equality Index, acknowledging that many councils have gone above and beyond these five measures and appreciating your work in this regard.

On this basis, we kindly ask that you amend the motion to remove point 3. We find this part of the motion unnecessary and pointless, particularly as it demeans our work as a community group to improve LGBTIQ+ inclusion in local government. We have always sought to work positively with councils across the state to improve LGBTIQ+ inclusion, and seek to work with yourselves, particularly Cr Leppert, to address any misinformation and issues raised by this part of the notice of motion.

In conclusion, we recognise that Council is delivering some fabulous LGBTIQ+ services and programs. We are also trying our best to improve LGBTIQ+ inclusion in local government – an area where this work is the first of its kind in Australia. We ask that we work together and support one another in these important endeavours.

Please indicate Yes
whether you

would like to
verbally address
the Future
Melbourne in
support of your
submission: *

If yes, please
indicate if you
would like to
make your
submission in
person, or via a
virtual link (Zoom)
to the meeting.
Please note,
physical
attendance will be
limited in
accordance with
City of Melbourne
security protocols
and COVID-safe
plans and be
allocated on a first
registered, first
served basis. *

I wish to make by submission via Zoom