

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Mark Wang

Email address: *

ceo@chinesemuseum.com.au

Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 16 November 2021

Agenda item title: *

6.3 Carlton Heritage Review 2021 (Planning Scheme Amendments C404 and C405) and Reinstatement of Protection for Punt Road Oval.

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.

See attached letter

Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[melbourne_chinese_church_of_christ.pdf](#) 138.75 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

No



12 November 2021

Submission to the Future Melbourne Committee Meeting, 16 November 2021.

Agenda item:

6.3 Carlton Heritage Review 2021 (Planning Scheme Amendments C404 and C405) and Reinstatement of Protection for Punt Road Oval.

Re: Melbourne Chinese Church of Christ building located at 148 Queensberry Street, Carlton.

The Museum of Chinese Australian History makes this submission on behalf of a large number of the Chinese population and their descendants who have lived in Carlton with their families from the late 1800s through to the mid-1900s.

The Carlton environs is inextricable linked to Melbourne's Chinatown, North Melbourne's Victoria market and the neighbourhood of North Melbourne where Chinese people also resided. These four locations are the neighbourhoods where the Chinese Community lived and worked and became the nucleus of the Melbourne's post-goldrush Chinese Community for the better part of a century.

Built in the 1900s, the Chinese Church of Christ building is, to our knowledge, the only purpose-built city building remaining that was built for the Chinese Community outside Chinatown.

As a Church serving the community for over 120 years, the location and the community congregation was central to the social fabric of Melbourne's Chinese Community spanning over four generations and still remains in the community's living memory of this 19th century era.

We implore that the City of Melbourne recognises this building's significance and built form so that it continues to provide the tangible recognition of the City's 170-year continuous history of the Chinese Community in Melbourne.

In conclusion, we support the motion to exhibit the planning scheme amendment to the public and proceed with the amendment process.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Wang
Chief Executive Officer

MUSEUM OF CHINESE AUSTRALIAN HISTORY

22 Cohen Place, Melbourne, VIC 3000 Australia T: (03) 9662 2888

W: chinesemuseum.com.au | E: info@chinesemuseum.com.au

Facebook, Twitter & Instagram: [chinesemuse](https://www.facebook.com/chinesemuse) | Weibo: 澳华历史博物馆

ABN 75 132 318 160 ACN 607 298 019

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Katie Roberts

Email address: * klrhull@gmail.com

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 16 November 2021

Agenda item title: * 6.3 Carlton Heritage Review 2021

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am. Submission is attached.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[submission_to_future_melbourne_committee_on_16_november_2021.docx](#)

353.75 KB · DOCX

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: * No

Submission to Future Melbourne Committee on 16 November 2021

Agenda item: 6.3 Carlton Heritage Review 2021

The goal of this submission is to ask the Future Melbourne Committee to conduct an actual cost/benefit analysis of heritage protections before adding 24 new places and upgrading restrictions on many others. Currently, the Management Recommendations report includes only a few short paragraphs on the environmental, social, and economic effects of adding new restrictions (see below). This is incredibly inadequate for a policy that will likely reduce housing options for people in the inner city.

Management Recommendations report excerpt

How does the amendment address any environmental, social and economic effects?

Environmental

It is widely understood that the conservation of heritage buildings has environmental sustainability benefits. Reduction in energy usage associated with demolition, and minimising waste disposal from demolition and new construction to landfill is achieved through the conservation of heritage buildings. Retaining and adapting heritage buildings promotes sustainable development by conserving the embodied energy in the existing buildings.

Social and Economic

Heritage buildings and places engender a sense of place and connection in communities. The recognition of buildings, streetscapes and precincts contributes to an understanding of Carlton's cultural heritage for present and future generations. The interim protection of these heritage places will ensure that the unique character, appeal and interest of the Carlton area is retained for the benefit of locals and visitors until C405 is finalised. The retention of heritage fabric will bring economic benefit as it strengthens the attractiveness of the area and encourages people to want to visit, work and spend time and money.

The Amendment is expected to have further economic effects by increasing certainty, facilitating decision making and minimising time delays, particularly given it confirms the heritage status of places identified in previous heritage studies.

Environmental Effects

Heritage restrictions certainly prevent demolitions as mentioned above. But they also prevent buildings from becoming taller and accommodating higher density housing. Carlton is an inner-city suburb, walkable to the CBD. The new heritage study recommendations include a giant car park as well as single-family homes. Protecting a car park does not seem like a positive outcome for the environment, especially when that site could house many people who otherwise will have to live further away and therefore emit more carbon by driving.

Heritage policy protects thousands of single-family homes, and more are being restricted in this new heritage study. This is very bad for the environment. Our inner city, especially Carlton, should be much denser, allowing for many more people to live a better and more sustainable life. There is recent coverage of the numerous poor environmental and social outcomes from our urban sprawl – the City of Melbourne bears some responsibility for pushing people out.

<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2021/nov/15/a-broken-dream-the-walkable-melbourne>

Social and Economic Impacts

Look at what is written above by management for social and economic impacts. Do you really think this applies to a giant carpark? Will it 'strengthen the attractiveness of the area'? Does it 'engender a sense of place and connection'? There are many potential social and economic impacts not discussed, and they are unique to each building that is being recommended for protections. Here are some example unanswered questions:

- How will heritage restrictions affect housing options and density?
- What costs will heritage restrictions add if someone wants to renovate?
- How limiting will heritage restrictions be on the property in terms of its ability to adapt to changing human needs, and changing city needs?

It will be difficult for the Future Melbourne Committee, and for Carlton residents, to understand the impact of additional heritage restrictions without serious analysis.

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

Name: * John Jovic

Email address: * jjphoto@pobox.com

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 16 November 2021

Agenda item title: * Agenda item 6.3 Carlton Heritage Review 2021 (Planning Scheme Amendments C404 and C405) and Reinstatement of Protection for Punt Road Oval

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

Dear Councillors,

I'm writing to strongly support the adoption of the Carlton Heritage Review 2021 (Planning Scheme Amendments C404 and C405) as outlined in agenda item 6.3.

Congratulations to all involved in creating an extensive and thorough heritage study which has brought timely attention to Modernist and Post Modern architecture. In particular I would encourage Councillors to support the protection of those Modernist and Post Modern buildings which might seem 'too new' to protect but which might already be 50 years old (approx. a couple of generations). Please consider how future generations will view these buildings and how much public understanding and interest in these types of buildings has already changed and grown in recent years.

The Late Modernist and Brutalist buildings built in the '60s and '70s are a significant part of Carlton's and Melbourne's history. Those Brutalist (or Brutalist inspired) buildings related to the expansion of RMIT and Melbourne University tended to be larger in scale, and ambition, so are arguably the most important to protect and

also best able to tell the stories of our past. The relevance and importance of these buildings has been detailed extensively by Lovell Chen Heritage Consultants in their excellent report.

Without diminishing the importance of the other recommendations in the Carlton Heritage Review 2021 I would simply like to highlight a few of the Brutalist (or Brutalist inspired) buildings to which I refer above.

Royal Women's Hospital Carpark, 96 Grattan Street and part 192-262 Cardigan Street

Melbourne University Earth Sciences Building, 253-275 Elgin Street

RMIT buildings 51, 56 and 57, 80-92 Victoria Street, 115 Queensberry Street and 53 Lygon Street

Thank you for your consideration.

Please indicate No
whether you
would like to
verbally address
the Future
Melbourne in
support of your
submission: *

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: *

Felicity Watson

Email address: *

felicity.watson@nattrust.com.au

Date of meeting: *

Tuesday 16 November 2021

Agenda item title: *

Agenda Item 6.3 C404 andC405 Carlton Heritage Review

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.

See attached.

Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[2021_11_12_carlton_heritage_review_submission_to_fmc.pdf](#)

329.48 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to verbally address the Future Melbourne in support of your submission: *

No



16 November 2021

Future Melbourne Committee
City of Melbourne
GPO Box 1603
Melbourne VIC 3001

6 Parliament Place
East Melbourne
VIC 3002

Email: conservation@nattrust.com.au

Web: www.nationaltrust.org.au

T 03 9656 9818

Re: Agenda Item 6.3 C404 and C405 Carlton Heritage Review

Dear Councillors,

The National Trust of Australia (Victoria) is pleased to write in strong support of the recommendations relating to the Carlton Heritage Review outlined in the report for Agenda Item 6.3.

We would like begin by congratulating the City of Melbourne on the ongoing progression of heritage reviews to protect and celebrate heritage across the municipality.

Proposing heritage protection for 24 new places and the creation of three precincts this review expands on the more common Victorian and Edwardian era architecture identified in previous heritage studies of Carlton to consider interwar, postwar and postmodern buildings, which reflect the unique, diverse urban character of the suburb.

This amendment strongly aligns with the mission of the National Trust to 'inspire the community to appreciate, conserve and celebrate its diverse natural, cultural, social and Indigenous heritage' and vision that our 'diverse heritage is protected and respected, contributing to strong, vibrant and prosperous communities'.

We strongly believe that the implementation of this review will provide greater certainty and clarity for developers and the community, encouraging more sensitive development outcomes for these important places.

We support the methodology developed and implemented by Lovell Chen and Extent Heritage in the preparation of this review and commend the high level of community consultation. We are particularly pleased to see the inclusion of brutalist, brutalist-inspired, and postmodern buildings in the study, as well as places of social and cultural value.

To ensure the appropriate management of the 24 places and three precincts as Planning Scheme Amendment C405 progresses, we also strongly support the recommendation to seek interim heritage overlay protection as part of Amendment C404.

In conclusion, we urge the Future Melbourne Committee to accept all Recommendations provided by Management as set out in Agenda Item 6.3.

We applaud the leadership shown by the City of Melbourne in progressing this review, and look forward to providing our continued ardent support as the amendment process unfolds.

Yours faithfully,

Felicity Watson
Executive Manager, Advocacy
National Trust of Australia (Victoria)

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

Name: * Tim Bracher

Email address: * exoff@yarrariver.melbourne

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 16 November 2021

Agenda item title: 6.5 Victorian Government Shared E-Scooter Trial

*

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. Submissions will not be accepted after 10am.

The Yarra River Business Association – representing 160 businesses of the Lower Yarra region of Melbourne City – welcomes the initiative to trial e-scooter hire in the municipality.

However, we wish to request that gps-triggered speed limitation be required for the e-scooters when travelling on Southbank, Crown and South Wharf Promenades, between Princes Bridge and Yarra's Edge.

These highly pedestrianised walkways already suffer from commuter cyclist speed and congestion (pre-pandemic), which poses a threat to the safety and comfort of our pedestrian visitors.

In addition, the very narrow pedestrian pathway on Northbank, between Birrarung Marr and Queensbridge should also be considered for speed limitation, as this pathway also suffers from congestion by commuting cyclists during peak morning and evening periods.

We expect that the e-scooters will generally add to the atmosphere and fun of our precinct experience, but we

primarily wish to ensure the safety of our visitors, which is already threatened by the actions of a small percentage of commuter cyclists.

Please indicate No
whether you
would like to
verbally address
the Future
Melbourne in
support of your
submission: *

Suggested GPS-triggered speed limitation on e-scooters in the Yarra River Precinct

