

**Attachment (to be tabled) Summary of feedback and Special Future Melbourne Committee recommendations on the draft Rating and Revenue Plan**

No.	First Name	Surname	Connection to the city	Feedback and/or request to speak	Summarised version of feedback	Special Future Melbourne Committee comments and recommendations
1	Paral	--	--	Feedback only	<p>The differential between residential and non-residential rates should be significantly increased. Almost all of the MCC expenditure is for the benefit of business directly or indirectly via spending on services/amenity/events for workers/visitors to the City. Residents receive very little benefit or support from MCC and our issues are largely ignored or subject to faux consultation where we are asked our views and then little to no account is taken of our views and issues. There should be no impost of waste charges on residents as any waste collection service by MCC is one of the few benefits residents receive for the rates they pay. MCC should focus on imposing new charges or much higher charges on developers for use of footpaths/roads for construction which significantly impacts pedestrians and for out of hours permits which significantly impacts residents. Also MCC should look at charges for Universities which are exempt but in normal times earn significant revenue.</p>	<p><b>Comment:</b> Thank you for your submission.</p> <p>In regards to rates charges for residents versus non-residents, there is already a significant indirect subsidy that benefits resident over non-resident ratepayers. Our draft budget includes rates relief to the value of almost \$4.8 million - this will benefit all ratepayers across the municipality.</p> <p>Regarding waste charges these are the subject of a future review, as are permit charges.</p> <p>When it comes to universities, their treatment falls under the <i>Local Government Act</i>. The City of Melbourne is not aware of plans to review this.</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> No change.</p>