

---

**Privacy acknowledgement: \***

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

**Name: \***

Simon Stockfeld

**Email address: \***

[Simon.Stockfeld@charterhall.com.au](mailto:Simon.Stockfeld@charterhall.com.au)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \***

Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \***

Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \***

6.1 Ministerial Planning Referral: TPMR-2019-9, 539-557 Collins St and 43-61 King St, Melbourne

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.**

**We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

This is a request to speak made on behalf of the permit applicant Charter Hall. The speak will be Mr Simon Stockfeld (Regional Development Director – Charter Hall).

Please note a separate request to speak will be lodged on behalf of the project architects (Cox) shortly.

We will follow up with a copy of the proposed presentation (which will be shared by Charter Hall and Cox) either later today or early tomorrow morning.

**Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee or the Submissions (Section 223) Committee in support of your submission:**

Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) \**

---

**Privacy acknowledgement: \***

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

**Name: \***

Simon Haussegger

**Email address: \***

[simon.haussegger@cox.com.au](mailto:simon.haussegger@cox.com.au)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \***

Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \***

Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \***

6.1 Ministerial Planning Referral: TPMR-2019-9, 539-557 Collins St and 43-61 King St, Melbourne

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.**

This is a request to speak made on behalf of the project architect Cox. The speaker will be Mr Simon Haussegger (Director – Cox Architecture).

**We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Please note a separate request to speak has been lodged on behalf of the permit applicants, Charter Hall.

We will follow up with a copy of the proposed presentation (which will be shared by Charter Hall and Cox) either later today or early tomorrow morning.

**Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee or the Submissions (Section 223) Committee in support of your submission:**

Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) \**

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Daniel Soussan

**Email address: \*** [dsoussan@tract.net.au](mailto:dsoussan@tract.net.au)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \*** 6.1 Ministerial Planning Referral: TPRM-2019-9, 539-557 Collins St and 43-61 King St, Melbourne

\*

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Dear Lord Mayor, Deputy Lord Mayor, and Councillors,

I hope this email finds you well.

Tract Consultants acts on behalf of Charter Hall, the owner and permit applicant for the site at 555 Collins Street & 55 King Street, Melbourne. This matter is listed as agenda item 6.1 for the upcoming Future Melbourne Committee on Tuesday 4 February 2020.

By way of background, in April 2019, on behalf of Charter Hall, we lodged a planning permit application with the Minister for Planning for this site.

The application proposes a high quality purpose-built office and retail development that will provide a significant contribution to the Victorian economy. The proposed development is positioned on the corners of three of Melbourne CBDs most well-known and important streets (Collins Street, King Street and Flinders Lane) and will substantially add to the quantum and quality of available commercial office space in the CBD. It aims to provide large floor plates in order to accommodate high order tenants who typically employ a larger number of staff. This will complement and enhance the operation and commercial functions of Melbourne's CBD and form part of a new sequence of development in this area of the central city.

The proposed redevelopment has been designed via a collaboration between established Melbourne architectural practice Cox Architecture, and Gensler, one of the world's largest international architectural firms - with a focus on the rejuvenation of this end of Collins Street through a high quality architectural response.

This application was referred to the City of Melbourne planning department for comment, and has been the subject of a series of collaborative design workshops with officers from both the City of Melbourne and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) over the past 8 months.

On behalf of our client, the project architects (Cox and Gensler) and the entire project team we would like to extend our thanks to the City of Melbourne's planning department, urban design department and land survey officers for their detailed and well-considered report and recommendation.

The project team have reviewed, and are entirely comfortable with, the proposed conditions set out in the officer recommendation.

We would also extend our thanks to the Lord Mayor and Councillor Reece for taking the time to meet with us late last year regarding this exciting and city enhancing project.

Should you have any queries or require any further information please don't hesitate to contact me on (03) 9429 6133 or by email at [dsoussan@tract.net.au](mailto:dsoussan@tract.net.au).

Please note that Simon Stockfeld (Charter Hall), Simon Haussegger (Cox) and I will be in attendance at the FMC meeting and would be happy to answer any questions that may arise on the night.

Kind regards, DS

Daniel Soussan

Senior Principal Town Planner

Tract Consultants Pty Ltd

Please indicate  No

whether you  
would like to  
address the Future  
Melbourne  
Committee or the  
Submissions  
(Section 223)  
Committee in  
support of your  
submission:

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**

---

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Elle Harrington

**Email address: \*** [eharrington@sjbplanning.com.au](mailto:eharrington@sjbplanning.com.au)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \*** 6.2 Ministerial Planning Referral: TPM-2017-11/A- 3-43 Waterfront Way, Docklands

\*

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

The amended permit application being considered tonight is a consequence of the extensive work undertaken by our client and the project team over the past two years in producing the Addendum to the WFC Outline Development Plan approved by the Minister for Planning in December 2019.

The amendment proposed is generally in accordance with the Addendum in all aspects, in particular with respect to height, setbacks, built form and shadows.

The amendment only proposes modifications to the top levels of the approved development, retaining the endorsed facade and lower level built form/layouts, and in fact proposes a reduced number of apartments due to changes made to the approved level 16. The proposed maximum height is also less than that currently contemplated by the

Addendum.

As you will be aware, construction on site is well advanced, and as such the applicant respectfully requests the Committee lend its support tonight in line with the recommendation of officers.

**Please indicate**      Yes  
**whether you**  
**would like to**  
**address the Future**  
**Melbourne**  
**Committee or the**  
**Submissions**  
**(Section 223)**  
**Committee in**  
**support of your**  
**submission:**

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Geoff Leach

**Email address: \*** [geoff.leach@bigpond.com](mailto:geoff.leach@bigpond.com)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \*** 6.3 TPM-2019-22, 102-115, 107-109, 115-117 Boundary Road and part of 59-101 Alfred Street, North Melbourne

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Please reject this excessive, non-conforming application. And pursue it through VCAT if necessary against the State Government, and without folding with only minor concessions.

All the previous work, including extensive meetings and public and community effort, assurances by CoM strategic planners involved in the various Arden Macaulay processes about high quality outcomes, built form controls etc are directly undermined by this kind of pre-emptive application. As someone from the North and West Melbourne



community extensively involved quite disillusioning.

It also undercuts the Macaulay revised process.

We've already seen similar undercutting and preempting regarding Arden with the 88 Laurens application (86-108 Laurens St), supported by DEWLP who seem intent on driving the development intensity up.

Regards

Geoff

**Please indicate      No**  
**whether you**  
**would like to**  
**address the Future**  
**Melbourne**  
**Committee or the**  
**Submissions**  
**(Section 223)**  
**Committee in**  
**support of your**  
**submission:**

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Chris Thrum

**Email address: \*** [mineralsands@hotmail.com](mailto:mineralsands@hotmail.com)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \*** 6.4 Planning Scheme Amendment C372- North Melbourne Football Club Signage (204 to 206 Arden Street, North Melbourne)

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Dear City of Melbourne Meeting Group Team

This is a written submission in regards to Agenda Item 6.4 Planning Scheme Amendment C372- North Melbourne Football Club Signage (204 to 206 Arden Street, North Melbourne). I support the recommendation from management that the Future Melbourne Committee requests the Minister for Planning to approve Amendment C372 under section 20(4) of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 in accordance with Attachment 2.

<https://www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/about-council/committees-meetings/meeting-archive/MeetingAgendaItemAttachments/885/15776/FEB20%20FMC1%20AGENDA%20ITEM%206.4.pdf>

One minor consideration could be to allow as well as internal advertising on the Oval, to as well include external advertising on the Oval. This would be of benefit to the North Melbourne Football Club. External advertising would not have too great a detrimental impact on the urban environment of the Public Park and Recreation Zone of Arden Street. This should be given consideration.

Another allowance could be allowing advertising signs written on the Oval itself.

This matter of signage of course is a specialty of Councillor Nicholas Reece, and the North Melbourne Football sign is a very subtle utilisation of the available space. North Melbourne Football Club is one of the great football clubs in the AFL, having set a benchmark for supreme performance in the 90s when making successive preliminary finals for more than half a decade under Coach Dennis Pagan and Captain Wayne Carey. Making a preliminary final can be deemed in most circumstances a successful season in an 18 team competition, and a successful application of planning codes can ensure that North Melbourne Football Club continues to have a successful advertising program at Arden Street. And thanks to the work of Kim Ramadge Design Team for the North Melbourne Football Club that is included in this material.

Best regards

Chris Thrum

email – [mineralsands@hotmail.com](mailto:mineralsands@hotmail.com)

**Please indicate**      Yes  
**whether you**  
**would like to**  
**address the Future**  
**Melbourne**  
**Committee or the**  
**Submissions**  
**(Section 223)**  
**Committee in**  
**support of your**  
**submission:**

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**

---

From: [safrost001@gmail.com](mailto:safrost001@gmail.com)

Sent: Wednesday, 29 January 2020 9:50:20 AM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney

To: CoM Meetings

Subject: Protect Princes Park

I urge you not to support any development that overshadows Princes Park, for the enjoyment and wellbeing of the local and the wider community. It's never happened before, don't let it happen now.

Shirley Frost

[safrost001@gmail.com](mailto:safrost001@gmail.com)

Sent from my iPhone

---

Dear Committee,

I am greatly concerned about the overshadowing that could occur if the JWLand development at 699 Park Street is permitted to go ahead.

I live a few kilometres away, but I ride my bike to Princes Park 4 days a week on my way to Melbourne Uni, and once or twice a week, all year round, I run laps of the park with my running friends. I am not the only one. "Prinny Park" is one of the best used parks I have seen in Melbourne, always full of activity and people enjoying the fresh air and sunshine. It's an essential part of a healthy community, and we treasure it.

This amenity should NOT be taken away from the growing inner-city community for the financial gain of JWLand, or any other developer who wishes to encroach on public facilities. Overshadowing the park and taking away the winter sun from park users, even in a small section, is simply not acceptable to the community and sets a highly undesirable precedent.

In 165 years, developments around Princes Park have managed to avoid overshadowing it. There is absolutely no reason - apart from greed - that the Park Street development cannot also stay within those same height limitations and preserve the park that belongs to all of us.

*Please protect Princes Park.*

Thank you.



Ri Scarborough  
Clifton Hill

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Rupert Myer

**Email address: \*** [rmyer@afi.com.au](mailto:rmyer@afi.com.au)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title:** Planning Scheme Amendment C278 Sunlight to Public Parks

\*

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Re Planning Scheme Amendment C278 Sunlight to Public Parks

Noting that the vast majority of submissions support the amendment and require no change to it, it seems fair and appropriate that this amendment now be adopted. In those few submissions that raise concerns, there seem to be adequate protections from other planning approval requirements.

For the removal of any doubt as far as Yarra Park is concerned, the amendment would eliminate the prospect of further overshadowing onto the northern part of the park. This matter is of vital concern to the users of the park, and should be of vital concern to the population at large. Further overshadowing would imperil the established trees and eliminate the prospect of access to mid winter sunshine during the critical daylight hours in popular parts of the park.

Specifically, this amendment would remove the prospect of building development over the Jolimont railway line adjacent to Wellington Parade. The effect of this amendment would profoundly improve the long term prospects for Yarra Park remaining a haven for inner city use.

Regards,

Rupert Myer

**Please indicate**      Yes  
**whether you**  
**would like to**  
**address the Future**  
**Melbourne**  
**Committee or the**  
**Submissions**  
**(Section 223)**  
**Committee in**  
**support of your**  
**submission:**

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**

---

Dear Lord Mayor and Councillors

I am responding to the public notice that the Council's Future Melbourne (Planning) Committee will consider submissions received during the exhibition of Amendment C278.

I strongly support the principle of protecting sunlight access to all parks. I also endorse the policy that there should be winter sun access to all parks to protect healthy living thought the year. I also endorse "no additional overshadowing" controls.

I am particularly concerned to protect Princes Park which is one of the most used parks in Melbourne. It is becoming more intensely used by residents who travel from the surrounding areas. In particular there are many recently built apartment blocks in Moreland, but there have been no parks built or land allocated for parks in South Ward of Moreland to cater for these thousands of new residents. They rely on Princes Park for their access to open space for recreation and for access to sunlight.

The creation of Princes Park (and Royal Park) was approved by Lieutenant Charles Joseph LaTrobe in 1854 and it was formally proclaimed in 1873. Since that time, generations of residents and visitors have enjoyed this beautiful park which has never been overshadowed.

We now find that there is a plan before the City of Moreland for a development at the corner of Park Street and Royal Parade which would cast a shadow over the north west corner of the park. The plan has been rejected by Moreland and revised plans are now the subject of a second hearing before VCAT at the end of February. These plans are also vigorously opposed by the users of the Park and the residents. However, the developers are persisting in their quest for a large building which will cast a shadow on the park.

It is an example of an approach by developers to appropriate public assets (in this case access to sunlight) for private gain.

I note that this issue has potential impacts on several of the portfolios of the committee. The Planning portfolio would be pivotal, although in this case any Planning Permit would be issued by the adjacent council, Moreland, and would need to be contested by Melbourne, if the Park were to be protected. The Environment portfolio would be relevant because of the effect of loss of sunlight on the flora and fauna of the Park and the Arts, Culture and Heritage portfolio would be interested because of the history of the park dating back to the vision of Governor LaTrobe. The International Engagement portfolio would be interested as the park is visited by many tourists and visitors to the city. They come to enjoy the open space and also to observe how the local residents enjoy leisure time. The Finance and Governance Committee would be interested as any appropriation of public assets for gain by private developers will raise issues of probity and transparency and influence.

I therefore urge the Council to protect the public interest and disallow any new overshadowing of any park in Melbourne.

Yours sincerely

Adrienne E Clarke AC



---

**From:** Val Wilson <rkwilson@bigpond.net.au>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 2 February 2020 4:10 PM  
**To:** CoM Meetings  
**Subject:** Overshadowing of Princes Park.

I wish to make a submission regarding Melbourne Planning Scheme Amendment C278-Sunlight to Parks which will be considered at a City of Melbourne Council Meeting on Tuesday 4 February at 5.30 p.m. Unfortunately I will not be able to attend this meeting in person.

My submission is in line with those of the Protect Park St Residents Action Group and Friends of Princes Park.

The main points are:

1. Princes Park has never been overshadowed in its 165 year history.
2. Any development that casts a shadow over the Park, including the running track, diminishes its value to the community.
3. Princes Park is the most highly used park in the City of Melbourne. This use will increase along with the increasing population density. There are no new parks being created in the South Ward of Brunswick to cater for this increase.
4. Access to winter sun particularly is important for both adult and children's health and wellbeing.
5. Parks are a precious resource for Melbourne and contribute to its liveability status.
6. The developers, of course, have no regard for public amenity and do exploit the value of public assets for their own financial gains. Many of these developments are owned by overseas interests, with no regard for the wellbeing of the community. Of note, the 699 Park St development owned by JWLand, a company controlled by Chinese interests, has a plan before VCAT which deliberately overshadows Princes Park.

Any amendment which will disallow the overshadowing of Princes Park and other Parks has my full support and I urge you to vote in favour of such an amendment.

Yours faithfully,

Valerie Wilson,  
Carlton North, VIC. 3054.

---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Ray Cowling

**Email address: \*** [ricowling@bigpond.com](mailto:ricowling@bigpond.com)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title:** 6.5 Planning Scheme Amendment C278 Sunlight to Public Parks

\*

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

6.5 Planning Scheme Amendment C278 Sunlight to Public Parks –  
Re Management Response to submission 28 (Ray Cowling).

The premise ... to apply a balanced approach.

In comparing Fawkner and Flagstaff we compare a park several kilometres away to one which is only a road's width away. A "balanced approach" would suggest that where the park is so close to the CBD, for pedestrians, then the needs of the park are far more important. Hence some special rules are needed. Fawkner Park and to lesser extent Domain Parklands are not "just over the road" from the CBD.

this change to the morning park protection time has no impact on sites to the north and west of Flagstaff Gardens.

The change does not answer the opportunity:

The area of small title sizes to the North and West of the North–West corner of Flagstaff Gardens is not a great development opportunity. Thus the area allows a stronger development restriction to be applied to protect the afternoon sun right through to sunset in Winter.

This plateau, North of Batman Street, in the North–West corner of the park encourages people, including a group of dog lovers to congregate, relax and enjoy each other’s company in the sun in the late afternoon.

People do not meet like this in the morning.

Please reconsider protecting this afternoon sunlight.

Would appreciate an indication where this current response lies in the process.

Ray Cowling

(2 photos attached of winter sunlight)

20 Capel St., West Melbourne VIC 3003 m 0438 298742 [ricowling@bigpond.com](mailto:ricowling@bigpond.com)

Alternatively you  
may attach your  
written  
submission by  
uploading your  
file here:



[flagstaff\\_late\\_afternoon\\_winter\\_9july\\_443pm.jpg](#) 4.60 MB · JPG

Please indicate      No  
whether you  
would like to  
address the Future  
Melbourne  
Committee or the  
Submissions  
(Section 223)  
Committee in  
support of your  
submission:

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**



---

**Privacy acknowledgement:** I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

\*

**Name: \*** Chris Thrum

**Email address: \*** [mineralsands@hotmail.com](mailto:mineralsands@hotmail.com)

**Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: \*** Future Melbourne Committee meeting

**Date of meeting: \*** Tuesday 4 February 2020

**Agenda item title: \*** 6.6 Post travel report by Councillor Louey: Business Partner City Roundtable: Bandung, Indonesia and Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, November 2019

**Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.**

Dear City of Melbourne Meeting Group

This is a written submission in regards to Agenda Item 6.6 Post travel report by Councillor Louey: Business Partner City Roundtable: Bandung, Indonesia and Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, November 2019. Thanks to Councillor Kevin Louey for writing this report, which includes many great photographs indicating his active participation in meetings and gatherings at Bandung in Malaysia.

<https://www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/about-council/committees-meetings/meeting-archive/MeetingAgendaItemAttachments/885/15777/FEB20%20FMC1%20AGENDA%20ITEM%206.6.pdf>

The knowledge and contacts that Councillor Kevin louey brings back to Melbourne will ensure that Melbourne has a brighter and better future. Learning more about technological and creative hubs is important for the future of Melbourne. All this enhances better liveability for the City of Melbourne.

Best regards

Chris Thrum

email – [mineralsands@hotmail.com](mailto:mineralsands@hotmail.com)

**Please indicate**      **No**  
**whether you**  
**would like to**  
**address the Future**  
**Melbourne**  
**Committee or the**  
**Submissions**  
**(Section 223)**  
**Committee in**  
**support of your**  
**submission:**

*(No opportunity is  
provided for  
submitters to be  
heard at Council  
meetings.) \**