

Dear Councillors,

Following a review of the delegate's report for Agenda Item 6.1 for tomorrow night's Future Melbourne Committee meeting, the National Trust has lodged an objection to permit application TP-2016-1124 for the construction of a temporary market pavilion. Our concerns are outlined in the submission attached. In summary:

The Queen Victoria Market is of heritage significance at a local, state and national level. We note that comments are now being sought regarding the site's potential inclusion on the National Heritage List, following a nomination by the City of Melbourne. Any new development on or adjacent to the Queen Victoria Market site should therefore be undertaken with the utmost respect for the site's heritage values. It is our strong view that the current proposal would have a significant impact on the heritage values of the Queen Victoria Market, and that these impacts are not adequately mitigated by the temporary nature of the pavilion structure, or the proposed permit conditions.

We further submit that it would be inappropriate for the City of Melbourne to approve the current application prior to the gazettal of Planning Amendment C245 by the Minister for Planning, and prior to permits being sought from Heritage Victoria for works associated with the current permit, as well as the removal of Sheds A, B, C, and D. To approve this application would "put the cart before the horse", and further erode public confidence in the City of Melbourne's planning for this site. Approval of this permit prior to approvals being obtained from Heritage Victoria may also create further uncertainty for traders.

Finally, we submit that it would be inappropriate for the City of Melbourne to make a determination on this permit given the Planning Panels Victoria recommendation to give Responsible Authority Status to the Minister for Planning under Planning Amendment C245, a recommendation which was supported by the City of Melbourne at the Panel Hearing.

We therefore respectfully submit that approval for this permit application should be withheld pending the outcome of Planning Amendment C245. This notwithstanding, we further submit that the application does not meet the objectives of DDO14, "To ensure that any development within the Queen Victoria Market is consistent with its Victorian character and low-scale.", and should be refused in its current form.

Please don't hesitate to contact me at felicity.watson@natrust.com.au, 9656 9802, or 0432 672 265 if you would like to discuss any aspect of this submission.

Kind regards,

Felicity



Felicity Watson | Advocacy Manager

National Trust of Australia (Victoria)

6 Parliament Place, East Melbourne VIC 3002

03 9656 9802 | 0432 672 265 | felicity.watson@natrust.com.au



Australian Government | **NATIONAL TRUST AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE FESTIVAL**
18 April – 21 May 2017
www.australianheritagefestival.org.au

NIGHT LIFE
A fashion exhibition of the 1920s and 30s
05 MAY | 30 JULY | 2017 | OPEN DAILY
[BOOK NOW](#)
#NightLifeRippon #NationalTrustVic

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

1 May 2017

Mr Evan Counsel
Practice Leader, Statutory Planning
City of Melbourne
240 Little Collins Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Tasma Terrace
4 Parliament Place
East Melbourne
Victoria 3002

Email: info@nattrust.com.au
Web: www.nationaltrust.org.au

CC: Lord Mayor Robert Doyle; City of Melbourne Councillors

T 03 9656 9800
F 03 9656 5397

File No: B2282

Re: Planning Permit Application TP-2016-1124—Queen Victoria Market

Dear Mr Counsel,

We write to formally object to the above permit application for a Temporary Pavilion on Queen Street. Our objection is in response to the advertised permit documentation, as well as Council's Report to the Future Melbourne (Planning Committee), Agenda Item 6.2, 2 May 2017.

Planning Amendment C245

We note Section 5 of the delegated planning application report which states "the amendment [C245] is considered a 'seriously entertained' planning scheme amendment and therefore given due consideration in the assessment of this application."

We further note Recommendation 21 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme Amendment C245 Panel Report, dated 12 July 2016, which recommends that:

The Minister for Planning assume the status of Responsible Authority for approval of any Development Plan or planning permit application under Development Plan Overlay Schedule 11.

As noted on page 119 of the Panel Report, "Council has agreed that it is appropriate for the Minister for Planning to be the Responsible Authority for approval of any Development Plan or permit application under Development Plan Overlay Schedule 11."

We therefore question why Council would proceed with this application prior to the resolution of Planning Amendment C245. We respectfully submit that the current application is contrary to the recommendations of the Panel, and that it would be appropriate for the Minister for Planning to act as the Responsible Authority for this application. We therefore submit that a decision should therefore be delayed until the gazettal of C245.

Relocation of Traders to Temporary Pavilion

We note the Planning Permit Application by Contour Town Planners dated 21 December 2016 (page 2), which states that "it is proposed to construct a new temporary market pavilion to relocate traders that currently operate within the open air sheds A, B, C, and D, located in the north-west portion of the QVM site."

We submit that no strategic justification has been provided by Council to support the plan to relocate of traders to facilitate the provision of underground services beneath these sheds. Given

the heritage impacts associated with the Temporary Pavilion, we submit that it would be inappropriate to grant a permit for these works before the City of Melbourne is able to demonstrate that the excavation of open air sheds A, B, C, and D, is unavoidable, and until detailed design has been developed, and approval is obtained by Heritage Victoria for these works to proceed.

It has previously been indicated by Council that the requirement for underground services would be subject to further assessment, and that the Temporary Pavilion would only be erected should these works proceed. We therefore submit that further approvals should be sought for the provision of underground services prior to the approval of the current permit.

DDO14

We note the current planning controls under DDO14, which include the following design objectives:

- *To ensure that any development within the Queen Victoria Market is consistent with its Victorian character and low-scale.*
- *To ensure that development around the Market edges and within close proximity to the Market provides and appropriate scale transition from the low scale Market buildings towards the medium and high rise towers in the Central Business District.*
- *To ensure that any development in close proximity to the Queen Victoria Market is compatible with the scale and character of the Market, surrounding residential apartments and adjacent precincts.*

We submit that the proposed development, at 13m in height, will have a significant impact on the scale and character of the market, and that adequate justification has not been provided for the proposed height, which at 13m is nearly twice the discretionary height limit under DDO14.

We support the comments under the heritage referral in section 13.1.1 of the delegate's report, which states:

The proposed structure would be substantially taller than the existing market sheds and footpath verandahs and would be set very close to the existing sheds located to the west of Queen Street. The proposed height exceeds the 7 metre maximum building height within Area 15 and does not satisfy Built Form outcomes for either Area.

We further agree with the assessment that:

It is set too close to the existing low scale buildings and is more prominent than the heritage structures. The proposed structure contains components (the market garden) which are unrelated to the continuation of the Market function, and contribute to the height of the structure. Moreover, the very long and tall structure set very close to the sheds would remove the open context for the sheds, and aspect which contributes to the enhancement of the heritage place and to an understanding of significance.

While we agree that a permit condition strictly ensuring that the structure must be removed after a five year period somewhat mitigates the long-term impacts of the proposal, we do not believe the City of Melbourne has provided adequate justification for the proposed heritage impacts. We therefore recommend refusal of this proposal in its current form.

Street Trees

We note the Tree Impact Report prepared by Stephen Frank, dated 13 January 2017, which identifies potential impacts associated with the development, including substantial pruning and "lowering of

grade and encroachment into the structural root zones” (page 16). Given the maturity of the trees, we consider that substantial pruning, or selective removal and replacement, would have a significant impact on amenity, and would be an adverse outcome given the sustainability objectives of the proposal and the temporary nature of the structure. We therefore support the requirement of a Tree Protection Plan as a condition of any permit granted.

Heritage Victoria Approvals

We note that no permit application has been made to Heritage Victoria for the cool room and back of house facilities proposed within the existing Market store rooms at the south west corner of the subject site. We do not consider it appropriate for Council to grant a permit for the balance of the works until appropriate approvals have been sought from Heritage Victoria.

Conclusion

The Queen Victoria Market is of heritage significance at a local, state and national level. We note that comments are now being sought regarding the site’s potential inclusion on the National Heritage List, following a nomination by the City of Melbourne. Any new development on or adjacent to the Queen Victoria Market site should therefore be undertaken with the utmost respect for the site’s scale and heritage values.

As such, we submit that it would be inappropriate for the City of Melbourne to approve the current application prior to the gazettal of Planning Amendment C245 by the Minister for Planning, and prior to permits being sought from Heritage Victoria for the removal of Sheds A, B, C, and D. To approve this application would “put the cart before the horse”, and further erode public confidence in the City of Melbourne’s planning for this site. Approval of this permit prior to approvals being obtained from Heritage Victoria may also create further uncertainty for traders.

Finally, we submit that it would be inappropriate for the City of Melbourne to make a determination on this permit given the Planning Panels Victoria recommendation to give Responsible Authority Status to the Minister for Planning under Planning Amendment C245, a recommendation which was supported by the City of Melbourne at the Panel Hearing.

We therefore respectfully submit that, in the interests of orderly planning, approval for this permit application should be withheld pending the outcome of Planning Amendment C245. This notwithstanding, we further submit that the application does not meet the objectives of DDO14, “To ensure that any development within the Queen Victoria Market is consistent with its Victorian character and low-scale.”, and should be refused in its current form.

Please don’t hesitate to contact me at felicity.watson@nattrust.com.au, 9656 9802, or 0432 672 265 if you would like to discuss any aspect of this submission.

Yours faithfully,



Felicity Watson
Advocacy Manager

Name: * Joe Vitale

Email address: * crus7368@bigpond.net.au

Contact phone number (optional): 0412303560

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 2 May 2017

Agenda item title: * 6.1 Planning Permit Application: TP-2016-1124, Queen Street Road Reserve and Queen Victoria Market, 65-159 Victoria Street, Melbourne

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible. I request to address the Future Melbourne Committee in respect of agenda item 6.1 Planning Permit Application: TP-2016-1124 in my capacity as a trader at the Queen Victoria Market.

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee in support of your submission: Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Malcolm McCullough

Email address: * Malcolm.McCullough@qvm.com.au

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 2 May 2017

Agenda item title: * 6.1 Planning Permit Application: TP-2016-1124

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee in support of your submission: Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Miriam Faine

Email address: * gvmfriends@gmail.com

Contact phone number (optional): 0408184505

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: *

Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Monday 1 May 2017

Agenda item title: * Planning Permit Application: TP-2016-1124, Queen Street Road Reserve and Queen Victoria Market, 65-159 Victoria Street, Melbourne

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee in support of your submission:

Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Name: * Nancy Policheni

Email address: * orders@theapplecorner.com.au

Contact phone number (optional): 0439871234

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 2 May 2017

Agenda item title: * New Market Pavilion Planning Permit Application

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible. Please disregard last submission, as I uploaded the incorrect document. Regards, Nancy Policheni

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:

[nancypolicheni_pavillionmeeting2017.docx](#)
141.33 kB · docx

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee in support of your submission: Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

Good afternoon my Name is Nancy Policheni, alongside my husband and children, we own THE APPLE CORNER and have done so for the last 22 years, situated In B shed at Queen Vic market.

I'm sure that one thing **we all** have in common in this room is that we are all passionate at preserving the market, for it to still be recognised as one of Melbourne greatest icons and busiest shopping precincts for the next 100 years.

And for this to happen we must make **changes**.

We have noticed many changes to the volume of customers coming to QVM. Numbers are dwindling week by week, and as a trader I'm asking myself why are our customers choosing not to come back?

Melbourne has undergone many changes, to increased population, increased people living in the city, better public transport, and yet we have failed to attract any of these increases into the traffic flow at the QVM. Something *needs* to change in order to make QVM an attractive place for all customers shopping needs in the heart of the city, and yet still allowing them to experience the ambience of a market life.

As a trader I can see both advantages and disadvantages to the PAVILLION, but the advantages in my opinion *far* out way the potential disadvantages.

- Firstly, we would be placed in a new, start of the art, eco friendly building, that could be seen as a potential icon, as its one of a kind. People will be able to shop comfortably 365 days of the year without being deterred by extreme heat or cold. The PAVILLION will be temperature controlled, protecting not only us traders, customers but also the freshness of our fruit and veg.
- Secondly, it would make customers finding where we are located much easier as we would all be under the one roof and conveniently next to the deli, meat and fish halls.
- The new layout would speed up customer shopping time at QVM making it more attractive for the time conscious customer, and or allowing them extra time to enjoy their coffee or brunch at the market. This could also have a positive impact on parking at QVM.
- THE PAVILLION will have better signage, illumination and directions making it easier for people and tourists to find us.
- We would be able to provide a much more safer environment for customers to shop comfortably in.
- This PAVILLION is a step forward in QVM wanting to remain as Australia's busiest shopping precincts, but also retaining the ambience, friendliness and variety that only QVM can provide.

It is crucial to our success as business owners to embrace these changes in order to secure our future livelihood. This PAVILLION can be seen as a step in the right direction to entice customers whether it be for the first time to see and experience shopping in a market environment; or customers that have left us for whatever reason to come and be reinvigorated by shopping at the QVM.

Chair & Councillors
Future Melbourne Committee
Meeting May 2, 2017
Agenda Item 6.2

The Friends of Royal Park, Parkville strongly urges the Future Melbourne Committee to endorse the Council submission to Amendment C281, opposing the increased heights of buildings (Stages 9-12) in the Commonwealth Games Village Project site. The proposed increases in height of the buildings represent up to a doubling of their originally approved heights. Councillors should not be misled by the number of “habitable storeys”; it is the overall heights of the buildings that matter.

In particular, the Friends of Royal Park supports the statement in the management report that:
9.2 The proposed building heights and mass will increase the visual bulk and dominance of the buildings in long views, and impact on the visual amenity of the adjoining linear park, Trin Warren Tam-boore Wetlands and Royal Park.

The Royal Park Master Plan espouses the perceptions of spaciousness of Royal Park and expansive views from the park. The proposed increased massing and height of the buildings in the Commonwealth Games Village Project site will detrimentally impact on these values and Royal Park’s public open space amenity.

Kaye Oddie
Secretary
Friends of Royal Park, Parkville Inc
PO Box 197, Parkville 3052
www.royalpark.org.au
friendsofroyalpark@gmail.com



Name: * Chris Thrum

Email address: * mineralsands@hotmail.com

Contact phone number (optional): 0422066973

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: *

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 2 May 2017

Agenda item title: * 6.4 Proposed travel report by Lord Mayor, Robert Doyle: Chicago Forum on Global Cities and Private Roundtable with Mayor Rahm Emanuel and to Auckland for the 2017 Local Government New Zealand Conference

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

Dear City of Melbourne committee group meetings team

This is a written submission in regards to the Future Melbourne Committee meeting to be held on the 2nd May 2017 and in particular to Agenda Item 6.4 Proposed travel report by Lord Mayor, Robert Doyle: Chicago Forum on Global Cities and Private Roundtable with Mayor Rahm Emanuel and to Auckland for the 2017 Local Government New Zealand Conference

I support the recommendations from the Management team. Melbourne is an international city and it is appropriate that the Lord Mayor of Melbourne Robert Doyle participate in the Chicago Forum on Global Cities in June 2017. His attendance also in Auckland for the 2017 Local Government New Zealand Conference is appropriate.

As well as discussing the Queen Vic Market project the Lord Mayor should discuss the liveability of Melbourne. Part of the liveability of Melbourne, something that enhances and makes Melbourne a better place is the reconciliation Action Plan of the City of Melbourne. It is important that the City of Melbourne and the citizens of Melbourne have a strong and positive relationship with the

Wurendjeri elders, the Wurendjeri tribe and with all the tribes across Australia.

<http://www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/about-melbourne/melbourne-profile/aboriginal-culture/Pages/reconciliation-action-plan.aspx>

Reconciliation Action Plan 2015-18 - City of Melbourne

www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

The City of Melbourne Reconciliation Action Plan outlines our strategic approach to reconciliation and the partnerships essential to its implementation.

Cities have an important part in driving the global economy. One thing that drives Melbourne is Music . This is recognised by the City of Melbourne's support of musicians in their Melbourne Music Week.

Melbourne musicians have a deep respect for Chicago musicians, and the Lord Mayor should convey this to the Mayor of Chicago , the Honourable Rahm Emmanuel.

Sweet Home Chicago is one song that is sung in Melbourne.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m0zyuc_2UVg

Blues Brothers - Sweet Home Chicago

www.youtube.com

'Sweet Home Chicago' from the film Blues Brothers. I do NOT own the video. I highly recommend you watch both the Blues Brothers films. Links to other Blues B...

Frank Sinatra sang about Chicago

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XpEGxHLJpK4>

My Kind of Town (Chicago) - Frank Sinatra

www.youtube.com

Scenes of Chicago, IL LYRICS: Now this could only happen to a guy like me And only happen in a town like this So may I say to each of you most gratef'ly As I throw ...

Another thing that drives Melbourne is the Spring Racing Carnival. The Lord Mayor should have a talk with Amanda Elliott and the VRC to ensure that Melbourne is recognised as one of the greatest Thoroughbred Horse Racing Cities on the planet. To add pizzazz to his presentation the Lord Mayor could show a clip of the mighty Chautauqua winning the Manikato Stakes at Moonee Valley.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aH5ngwRByC4>

Group 1 Manikato Stakes - Chautauqua

www.youtube.com

Encosta de Lago's grey son Chautauqua recorded an easy victory in Moonee Valley's Group 1 Manikato Stakes - coming from the tail of the field for an utterly ...

Chicago has a resonance in Melbourne.

Chicago has two Major League Baseball Teams of note. The Chicago Cubs and the Chicago White Sox. The Cubs won the most recent World Series. There are Melbourne citizens who support the Cubs and the White Sox and wear their Caps in Melbourne.

The Cubs play at Wrigley Field, but overnight the Cubs lost in Boston to the Boston Red Sox 6 -2.

The good news is that the Chicago Cubs are playing at Wrigley Park from the 4th June to the 11th June, so the Lord Mayor should take the opportunity if it is possible to attend a Major League Baseball game in Chicago.

According to the schedule the Cubs are playing the Marlins on the 5th, 6th and 7th of June and then on the 8th , 9th, 10th and 11th June the Cubs are hosting the Rockies.

http://chicago.cubs.mlb.com/schedule/?c_id=chc#y=2017&m=6&calendar=DEFAULT

Cubs Schedule | Chicago Cubs
chicago.cubs.mlb.com

Learn more about the full Cubs schedule, including ticket information, stats and more from the Official site of the Chicago Cubs!

<http://www.chicagotribune.com/sports/columnists/ct-wrigley-fenway-street-closures-sullivan-spt-0501-20170430-column.html>

Cubs point to Fenway street closure in bid to close Clark, Addison on game days

www.chicagotribune.com

The Cubs haven't been able to convince the city to approve the closing of Clark and Addison Streets on game days for safety reasons.

The Chicago Tribune is a major newspaper for Chicagoans. Perusing the Chicago Tribune in the time before the Chicago Forum should assist the Lord Mayor and the City of Melbourne designated Manager in having a better grasp of what is of importance in Illinois.

<http://www.chicagotribune.com/>

Chicago Tribune: Chicago breaking news, sports, business ...

www.chicagotribune.com

Chicago Tribune: Your source for Chicago breaking news, sports, business, entertainment, weather and traffic

Attending the Auckland event will ensure the knowledge that Melbourne has in regards to good local governance can be shared amongst our Pacific friends.

Yours sincerely

Chris Thrum

email - mineralsands@hotmail.com

Phone - 0422066973

Please indicate Yes
whether you
would like to
address the Future
Melbourne
Committee in
support of your
submission:

*(No opportunity is
provided for
submitters to be
heard at Council
meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*