
From: Wufoo
Sent: Thursday, 21 July 2016 3:19:32 PM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#739]

Name: * Rupert Buesst

Email address: * rupertbuesst@gmail.com

Contact phone 0466989637
number (optional):

Please indicate Council meeting
which meeting
you would like to
make a
submission to by
selecting the
appropriate
button: *

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal

*

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

To whom it may concern,

I would like to lodge my formal opposition to the section 'Quarter 4' of the Queen Victoria Market renewal master plan. As a musician and regular patron of the Mercat Basement (which resides below the Mercat Cross Hotel – 456 Queen St), I feel it would be a huge loss for Melbourne's music and art scene to see this live music venue closed.

The Basement is well known amongst Melbournians for the large pool of international musical talent which it attracts every weekend. The unquestionable talent of the international performers coupled with its perfect location makes this undoubtedly the best nightclub in Melbourne. There is a strong

and unique vibe of acceptance at the venue which is not present at any other night-institution in Melbourne. This allows patrons to express themselves safely through dance – without the fear of discrimination based violence (whether racial, gender or sexual etc.) – which is a very real and ever-present threat faced by many citizens at other night-venues. There is never a hint of discrimination or threat of violence at the Mercat Basement, which makes it a truly special place in my opinion.

Whilst there are dozens of nightclubs in the Melbourne CBD, the Mercat Basement is the only which caters to those who have passion for the genres of House, Disco and Techno. It could be argued that the venue may move to another location, however it simply would not be the same. For example – the Mercat Basement is to dance lovers, what the NGV (National Gallery of Victoria) is to lovers of art. It is an integral part of Melbourne city for those who express themselves through dance, and no other venue or location can compare.

The Mercat Cross Hotel and Basement – as they currently stand, do not need a revamp or renewal. I ask that you please consider my opposition to the Q4 development, and consider omitting Q4 renewal from the master plan, or at the very least leaving the Mercat Cross Hotel and Mercat Basement untouched.

If you wish to contact me regarding this matter, please do so via email: rupertbuesst@gmail.com or telephone: 0466989637

Kind regards,

Rupert Buesst

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

From: Wufoo
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2016 11:09:22 AM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#740]

Name: * Kon Kardaras

Email address: * konkar.mob@gmail.com

Contact phone number (optional): 0411374479

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Council meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: * Council acceptance of QVM Precinct Renewal

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

I seek to address the council meeting to be held later today.
My submission is to request of the Council to defer the decision to accept the QVMPR.
The reasons for my request will be detailed in my presentation.

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

From: Wufoo
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2016 11:44:32 AM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#741]

Name: * Ray Cowling

Email address: * ricowling@bigpond.com

Contact phone 93268743
number (optional):

Please indicate Council meeting
which meeting
you would like to
make a
submission to by
selecting the
appropriate
button: *

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: 6.2Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program (QVMPR) Implementation
***** Framework

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

1. People who love to have breakfast in the sun at the market have already lost Therry St to the shadow of the Stork Hotel development. The Munro building will shadow the new 'square' at one of the market's busiest times. How much better to have height moved to Franklin St where a tall building is only shadowing other tall apartment buildings which in turn are shadowing yet more apartment towers.

2. We saw in Swanston St how flat city squares are lifeless and undulating ones like Fed Square are full of life, so has the City of Melbourne really exhausted all the engineering possibilities for a square built on pads of concrete rather than traditional foundations? Could such a structure allow a more interesting square and at the same time allow ground level car parking for those folk from the near suburbs who are dissuaded from entering multi-level car parks and who can find easy ground level

parking at their local supermarket.

3. The gentrified market in Florence (San Lorenzo) does not perform more successfully than the messy informal markets in Venice, Rome, Padua, Palermo, etc. If you could create a second level under Queen St, for storage you might gain nearly as much increase in efficiency without the same level of disruption.

4. Why lose the most accessible part of the market, the organics section, to eateries. This section needs to be maintained for the sale of food. The Munro building is a more logical site for eateries.

Privacy acknowledgement: I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*

From: Wufoo
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2016 11:47:41 AM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#742]

Name: * Felicity Watson

Email address: * felicity.watson@nattrust.com.au

Contact phone number (optional): 0432672265

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Council meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: * 6.2 Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program
Implementation Framework

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible. See attached.

Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:



[2016_07_25_submission_to_council_meeting_agenda_item_6.2.pdf](#)

391.12 KB • PDF

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

26 July 2016

Mayor Robert Doyle
City of Melbourne
City of Melbourne
GPO Box 1603
Melbourne VIC 3001.

Tasma Terrace
4 Parliament Place
East Melbourne
Victoria 3002

Email: info@nattrust.com.au
Web: www.nationaltrust.org.au

T 03 9656 9800
F 03 9656 5397

CC: City of Melbourne Councillors; Melbourne Heritage Action

Re: Agenda Item 6.2—Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program Implementation Framework

Dear Mayor and Councillors

The National Trust has been advocating for the protection of the Queen Victoria Market site for nearly 50 years, and most recently made a joint submission with Melbourne Heritage Action to the Planning Panel for amendment C245 which opposed the City of Melbourne's vision for development surrounding the market, on heritage grounds.

Similarly, the Trust has significant concerns regarding heritage impacts arising from works proposed in the Implementation Framework. **We urge councillors to vote against the recommendation to endorse the Implementation Framework, pending further details, consultation and heritage advice.** Furthermore, we urge Councillors to refer the Implementation Framework to the Future Melbourne Committee, to allow the community and other stakeholders to make verbal submissions to Council regarding this important project. While extensive consultation was undertaken during the development of the Master Plan for the site, little detail has been provided about its implementation.

A summary of our primary concerns is below:

Dismantling of Sheds A–D

The proposal to dismantle sheds A to D would constitute a significant heritage impact on this nationally significant place. It is not clear whether heritage advice has been sought in relation to this proposal, however it appears to be at odds with the City of Melbourne's aspiration for National and World Heritage Listing of the market. Further, no information has been provided as to whether other options have been explored. The potential impacts of access requirements to underground areas are also unclear. The National Trust urges Councillors to vote against the endorsement of this aspect of the Implementation Framework pending further details about the proposal, and independent heritage advice.

H and I Sheds

The Implementation Framework states that "Sheds H and I, currently home to organics and fruit and vegetables, will be transformed into a key food and hospitality destination". The National Trust submits that the current fruit and vegetable offering in this location should

be retained. The Victorian Heritage Register statement of significance for the market states that “The Queen Victoria Market is of social significance for its ongoing role and continued popularity as a fresh meat and vegetable market, shopping and meeting place for Victorians and visitors alike.” Indeed, the fresh food offering is what distinguishes it from other retail and hospitality destinations in the CBD, and is intrinsic to its recognised social and historical significance, which may be threatened by any “gentrification” of the market offering. Further detail should also be provided regarding proposed works to H and I sheds prior to sign-off on any proposal for their future use.

Below-Ground Services

While the Trust accepts that it is necessary and desirable to improve services for market traders, the visual and physical separation of customers from market services including storage and deliveries has the potential to impact on the historic and social significance of the market as distinct from other retail destination. This should be considered in the development of detailed planning for the location of future “back of house” areas. It is important that customers continue to experience the Queen Victoria Market as a vibrant working market, and not a sterile and pristine retail environment. This aspect of social and historical significance should be investigated further before commitments are made to the implementation of the relocation of services.

Conclusion

urge councillors to vote against the recommendation to endorse the Implementation Framework, pending further details, consultation and heritage advice. Furthermore, we urge Councillors to refer the Implementation Framework to the Future Melbourne Committee, to allow the community and other stakeholders to make verbal submissions to Council regarding this important project. We would welcome any involvement in further consultation on the implementation plan, and should you wish to discuss any aspect of this submission I can be contacted on 9656 9818 or 0432 672 265.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'F. Watson', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Felicity Watson
Senior Community Advocate

From: Wufoo
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2016 12:03:20 PM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#743]

Name: * rohan storey

Email address: * melbourneheritageaction@gmail.com

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Council meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: * Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program (QVMPR)
Implementation Framework

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

MHA has strong concerns with dismantling and rebuilding sheds, with no detailed plans. For instance access ramps on peel street could be very disrupting.

Enclosing sheds H&I for retail food outlets means fewer fruit and veg stalls, reducing historic use of market as a whole.

New building across the road will no doubt include similar retail.

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

From: Wufoo
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2016 12:16:10 PM (UTC+10:00) Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney
To: CoM Meetings
Subject: Council and Committee meeting submission form [#745]

Name: * Felicity Watson

Email address: * felicity.watson@nattrust.com.au

Contact phone number (optional): 0432672265

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Council meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: * 6.4 Amendment C245 Queen Victoria Market Precinct
Renewal

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit **by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting.** We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.



Alternatively you may attach your written submission by uploading your file here:

[2016_07_26_agenda_item_6.4_national_trust_submission.pdf](#)

369.69 KB _.PDF

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

26 July 2016

Mayor Robert Doyle
City of Melbourne
GPO Box 1603
Melbourne VIC 3001.

Tasma Terrace
4 Parliament Place
East Melbourne
Victoria 3002

Email: info@nattrust.com.au
Web: www.nationaltrust.org.au

CC: City of Melbourne Councillors; Melbourne Heritage Action

T 03 9656 9800
F 03 9656 5397

Re: Agenda Item 6.2—Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program Implementation Framework

Dear Mayor and Councillors,

Following a review of the Panel Report for Queen Victoria Market built form controls, the Trust remains concerned about the potential heritage impacts on the market, with the Panel recommending controls which would allow more intensive and higher development at the market edge than what is currently allowed under the Planning Scheme.

Regarding the Munro Site, do not believe that the 5m setback recommended by the Panel goes far enough to address the issue of potential facadism, and we recommend a 10m setback. Further, we do not believe the Panel's recommendation for a 100m height limit on the Munro Site and Parcel D goes far enough.

The Trust believes that the Panel recommendations regarding Parcel D are poorly resolved with respect to heritage, and further heritage advice should be sought prior to detailed planning being undertaken for this part of the site.

The Trust notes that media reports indicate that negotiations are underway with developer PRG which seeks to build a 200m tower on the Munro site. This is at odds with the Panel's recommendations of a 100m height limit, and with the views of the Minister for Planning which have recently been expressed in the media regarding heritage impacts on the market. Furthermore, this proposal appears to be in conflict with the officer's recommendation to accept the Panel's recommendation and refer C245 to the Minister for Planning. The Trust therefore welcomes the Panel's recommendation that the Minister for Planning be the Responsible Authority for approval of any planning permit under DPO 11.

Yours faithfully,



Felicity Watson
Senior Community Advocate

Name: * rohan storey

Email address: * melbourneheritageaction@gmail.com

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Council meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 26 July 2016

Agenda item title: * Amendment C245 Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal

*

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than noon on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

MHA would prefer even lower heights in areas adjacent to market than panel recommends.

We are very concerned the whole thing assumes extensive development when many buildings and a whole block have HOs. Likely to lead to demolition/facading. We prefer development around the edges to be low scale in keeping with market. So we would prefer lower on Munro site, very low along eliz St (not discussed by panel), and lower south of Munro, and very low behind the sheds on Franklin.

Heights recommended by Panel are however better than no absolute height limits.

We also STRONGLY support the recommendation that the Minser become the responsible authority.

Privacy acknowledgement: * I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*