
Name: * stephen mayne

Email address: * stephen@maynereport.com

Contact phone 0412106241
number (optional):

Please indicate Future Melbourne Committee meeting
which meeting
you would like to
make a
submission to by
selecting the
appropriate
button: *

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 20 November 2018

Agenda item title: 6.1 Planning Scheme Amendment C307 Gaming Policy

*

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

The Alliance for Gambling Reform is very pleased to see City of Melbourne progressing with Planning Scheme Amendment C307.

It was a substantial piece of work and has come through the initial consultation period largely unscathed, despite the pokies industry raising some concerns.

The City of Melbourne has arguably the best record of any Australian council when it comes to resisting pokies applications, as the amendment report notes.

Council's relatively modest 11 pokies venues (excluding Crown Melbourne, where gamblers lost \$450m on the pokies in 2017–18) is a remarkable contrast with the 200-plus pokies venues which have ruined City of Sydney, particularly

the live music scene.

Containment of pokies and Melbourne's laneway and small bars culture have delivered your council Australia's best performing and most vibrant night time economy.

However, recent analysis by The Alliance has revealed that the state seat of Melbourne delivered pokies venues \$84 million in gambling losses in 2017-18, the highest of any seat in the Legislative Assembly (excluding Crown's contribution to losses in Albert Park).

This figure matches City of Melbourne as a whole as all 11 pokies venues fall within the state seat of Melbourne.

For this reason, council needs to be particularly vigilant to resist future pokies applications whilst also considering future interventions which may manage down both losses and venue numbers. (ie Could the Celtic Club be persuaded not to re-open with the 40 pokies currently being stored in a warehouse).

To that end, it is imperative that the next Victorian Gaming Minister ends the ridiculous situation which sees the Capital City Zone being the only part of Victoria which is not capped for poker machine numbers and we encourage further council advocacy on this matter.

Finally, it has been noteworthy that the gambling issue has been largely absent from the state election campaign debate. This is mainly because the two major parties are on a unity ticket and don't have any interest in discussing the issue.

The Alliance therefore encourages councillors to make some strong statements in support of gambling reform and the proposed amendment during tonight's debate.

In support of this move, we have commissioned our mobile billboard to do a few laps of the CBD this afternoon ahead of your discussion at Town Hall.

I look forward to addressing the committee on this important matter this evening.

Kind Regards

Stephen Mayne

Alliance for Gambling Reform

Stephen@maynereport.com

Please indicate Yes
**whether you
would like to
address the Future
Melbourne
Committee or the
Submissions
(Section 223)
Committee in
support of your
submission:**

*(No opportunity is
provided for
submitters to be
heard at Council
meetings.) **

Privacy I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.
acknowledgement:

*

Name: * Tony Penna

Email address: * president@southbankresidents.org.au

Contact phone number (optional): 90282774

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 20 November 2018

Agenda item title: * 6.2 Planning Scheme Amendment C308 Urban Design in the Central City and Southbank

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting. We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

Please see attached.
I have another meeting that I need to attend. Can I please request to speak first.

Alternatively you may attach your



written

[submission_fmc_meeting_no._46_agenda_item_6.2_planning_scheme_amendment_c308_urban_design_in](#)

submission by
uploading your
file here:

106.21 KB · PDF

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee or the Submissions (Section 223) Committee in support of your submission:

Yes

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement:

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.

*



PO Box 1195 South Melbourne VIC 3205
Phone: 03 9028 2774
ABN 58 986 783 321 Cert. of Inc. A0036364B
info@southbankresidents.com.au
www.southbankresidents.com.au

Submission to Future Melbourne Committee

City of Melbourne, Council Meeting Room, Melbourne Town Hall Administration Building
20 Nov 2018, 5.30pm – Meeting No.46
Agenda Item 6.2 Planning Scheme Amendment C308 Urban Design in Central City and Southbank

Southbank Residents Association whole-heartedly supports this amendment to the planning scheme.

As mentioned in our submission in this forum in February, we believe this review is long overdue and as such maybe a missed opportunity. As we are all aware, Southbank does not have much land remaining that does not already have a development approval. We argued in the C270 built form review that it didn't go far enough. We are now concerned with the potential roll-back of C270 should a Liberal government win office this Saturday. If a roll-back does occur, then how will/could that impact this proposed amendment?

Owing to the importance of this amendment, SRA engaged the professional services of Rob Milner from 10 Consulting Group to inform the community through our own community forum and guide us with what this amendment will mean and its potential impacts. Rob Milner came at a cost of several thousand dollars to our association, and we are most grateful to Rob Milner for his guidance and suggestions. Rob Milner's report formed the basis of our submission. While overall Rob was also very supportive of the amendment, there were a few points raised for consideration with the final report, which we are satisfied have been considered by management with this final draft.

We would also like to make particular mention and thank Andy Fergus for his walking tour through the CBD highlighting various aspect of street design and how this amendment will address those. Andy was most engaging and demonstrated his passion and knowledge of the subject. If Andy is ever conducting another design-focused walking tour, then SRA commends all Councilors or anyone within the City of Melbourne who has an interest in this area to join as we believe they will not be disappointed. It was disappointing not seeing any Councilors taking part in this.



Overall this amendment was a brilliant effort from the entire team, right down to the drawings in the guide, of which we noted a number of submitters commenting on the guide and its ease of reading to understand.

Southbank Residents Association is looking forward to being able to present at a Panel hearing and further contribute to this important amendment.

Once again, Southbank Residents Association thanks the City of Melbourne for taking the lead with this initiative. We hope there is enough undeveloped parts of Southbank for C308 to have a lasting, practical and worthwhile outcome.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tony Penna", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Tony Penna
President
Southbank Residents Association

Name: * Peter Andrew Barrett

Email address: * info@pabarrett.com

Contact phone number (optional): 0429 429 064

Please indicate which meeting you would like to make a submission to by selecting the appropriate button: * Future Melbourne Committee meeting

Date of meeting: * Tuesday 20 November 2018

Agenda item title: * Urban Design Guidelines

Please write your submission in the space provided below and submit **by no later than 10am on the day of the scheduled meeting.** We encourage you to make your submission as early as possible.

I am supportive of Amendment C308.

Good urban design makes a difference between a good city and a great City.

The guidelines can be further expanded, as they rely too heavily on the visual, they should also investigate other sensory elements such as noise and smell in urban design (outlined in more detail in my submission).

Another area for attention would be greater controls on the amount of signage in Melbourne, and I suggest that the policy address this.

{OBJ*OBJ*OBJ*OBJ}

Please indicate whether you would like to address the Future Melbourne Committee or the Submissions (Section 223) Committee in support of your submission: No

*(No opportunity is provided for submitters to be heard at Council meetings.) **

Privacy acknowledgement: *

I have read and acknowledge how Council will use and disclose my personal information.